### Performance Analysis Report

for

### Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan

For the Period Ending June 30, 2012

Milliman

The following analysis was prepared by Milliman, utilizing fourthary data from statements provided by the plan trustee and/or custodian, Milliman computer software and selected information in the Milliman database. Reasonable care has been taken to assure the accuracy of the data contained herein, and all written comments are objectively stated and are based on facts gathered in good faith. Milliman does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this report.

This report is intended for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any judgments, recommendations or opinions expressed herein pertain to the unique situation of the intended recipient and should not be construed as useful to any other party.

The Dow Jones Wilshire Indexes<sup>SM</sup> are calculated, distributed and marketed by Dow Jones & Company, Inc. pursuant to an agreement between Dow Jones and Wilshire and have been licensed for use. All content of the Dow Jones Wilshire Indexes<sup>SM</sup> © Dow Jones & Company, Inc. & Wilshire Associates Incorporated.

MSCI is a service mark of Morgan Stanley Capital International Inc. Morgan Stanley Capital International, MSCI®, ACWI, EAFE® and all other service marks referred to herein are the exclusive property of MSCI or its affiliates. All MSCI indices are the exclusive property of MSCI.

Frank Russell Company ("FRC") is the source and owner of the Russell Index data contained or reflected in this material and all trademarks and copyrights related thereto. The material is intended for the sole use of the intended recipient. This is a Milliman, Inc. presentation of the data. Frank Russell Company is not responsible for the formatting or configuration of this material or for any inaccuracy in presentation thereof.

Standard & Poor's and S&P are trademarks of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.

### Table of Contents

۱ -	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND OVERVIEW	······ ´
	QUARTERLY OVERVIEW	1
-	ASSET ALLOCATION AND ASSET GROWTH REVIEW	2
	COMMENTS ON ASSET ALLOCATION	2
	COMMENTS ON INVESTMENT MANAGER PERFORMANCE	
	ACTUAL & TARGET ASSET ALLOCATION	
ш	- PERFORMANCE REVIEW	
Ш		
	CUMULATIVE PERFORMANCE RESULTS BY MANAGER - BEFORE FEES	
	CALENDAR YEAR PERFORMANCE RESULTS BY MANAGER - BEFORE FEES	
	CUMULATIVE PERFORMANCE RESULTS BY MANAGER - NET OF FEES	
	COMULATIVE F ERFORMANCE NESULTS DT MIANAGER - NET OF FEES	1
	IV - PERFORMANCE ATTRIBUTION	16
	TOTAL FUND REVIEW	16
	TOTAL FUND RISK/REWARD VS. UNIVERSE	18
	ICC Capital	
	RHUMBLINE ADVISORS – S&P 500	
	RHUMBLINE ADVISORS – S&P 400 MID CAP	
	RHUMBLINE ADVISORS – S&P 600 SMALL CAP	
	EQUITY RISK/REWARD VS. UNIVERSE	
	WELLINGTON MANAGEMENT	
	FIXED INCOME RISK/REWARD VS. UNIVERSE	
	RHUMBLINE ADVISORS - ADR INDEX.	
	WENTWORTH HAUSER	
	International Equity Risk/Reward vs. Universe	
V ·	APPENDIX	4
	DESCRIPTION OF MANAGERS' INVESTMENT PHILOSOPHY, PROCESS & STYLE	4´
	EQUITY STYLE MAP	
	EQUITY PORTFOLIO PROFILE REPORT	
	Universe Medians	
	CALCULATED VS. MANAGER PRODUCED PERFORMANCE	
	DEFINITIONS	48



#### I - Executive Summary and Overview

#### Market Overview

Domestic Equity Markets

During the second quarter of 2012 US equities declined across the board driven by worries about the European debt crisis, a sluggish U.S economy, and slowing growth in China. The S&P 500 returned -2.8% compared 12.6% in the first quarter 2012. Small cap stocks also had a decline with the Russell 2000® Index down -3.7% versus 12.4% for the prior quarter.

Six of the S&P 500 sectors had negative returns during the second quarter; while four had positive returns. Telecommunication sector had the greatest gain (14.3%), followed by Utitlies (6.6%), Consumer Staples (1.8%) and Healthcare (1.8%). Negative sectors were Consumer Discretionary (-2.6%), Industrials (-3.6%), Materials (-4.2%), Energy (-6.0%), Information Technology (-6.7%) and Financials (-6.8%).

In the quarter, value stocks outperformed growth securities in both the large cap and small cap areas of the market. In domestic large capitalization, the Russell 1000® Value Index returned -2.2% compared to the Russell 1000® Growth Index return of -4.0%. In small caps, the Russell 2000® Value Index returned -3.0% while the Russell 2000 ® Growth Index returned -3.9%.

#### International Equity Markets

The international equity markets fell during the quarter driven by fears regarding solvency in the Euro-zone, and the threat of a Euro-zone break up. The MSCI EAFE Index returned -7.1% during the quarter, while emerging markets were down -8.9%.

#### Domestic Bond Markets

The Federal Reserve Bank continued to sell shorter-term U.S. Treasuries and buy longer-term bonds, with the goal of pushing down long-term interest rates to stimulate borrowing and spending. Most Treasury fixed income segments rose in the 2nd quarter. The Barclays Capital Aggregate Bond Index returned 2.1% during the quarter.

Longer-duration bonds were the best performing sector this quarter, and investors sought the safety of government bonds. The Barclays Capital Long Government/Credit Index returned 7.3% while the shorter Barclays Capital 1-3 Year Government/ Credit Index returned 0.2%. The Barclays Capital Credit Index returned 2.5% compared to 3.1% for the Barclays Capital Treasury Index. The Barclays Mortgage Index returned 1.1%, while high yield securities as measured by the Merrill Lynch High Yield Master II Index returned 1.8%.

#### Real Estate

The domestic real estate market, as measured by the NCREIF ODCE Property Index, was up 2.5% for the second quarter of 2012. The FTSE NAREIT Equity Index, which measures the domestic public REIT market, returned 3.7%. Global real estate securities, as measured by the FTSE EPRA/NAREIT Global Developed Markets Index, returned 1.5%.

#### **Quarterly Overview**

#### Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan

Asset Allocation Summary

- The Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan had a total market value of \$409,103,942 as of June 30, 2012, which represents a decrease of \$14.9 million from the March 31, 2012 market value of \$424,058,894.
- The overall decrease in the Fund's market value was the result of \$3.4 million in net withdrawals and \$11.5 million in investment losses.

#### Second Quarter, 2012 Performance Summary Total Plan

- The Employees' Retirement Plan had a return of -2.7% during the second quarter of 2012, and ranked in the 86<sup>th</sup> percentile of the total fund universe. This return was below the benchmark return of -2.1%. (For periods up to and including the 1st quarter of 1996, the Total Fund benchmark is 50% S&P 500 and 50% Barclays Intermediate Gov't/Corp Index; from 1st quarter of 1997 through 1st quarter of 1998, the benchmark is 50% S&P 500 and 50% Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond Index; from 1st quarter of 1999 though the 3rd quarter of 2000, the benchmark is 40% S&P 500, 7.5% S&P 400 Mid Cap, 2.5% MSCI EAFE and 50% Barclays U.S Aggregate Bond Index. From the 1st quarter 2000 through the 1st quarter of 2006 the benchmark is 45% S&P 500, 10% S&P 400 Mid Cap, 5% MSCI EAFE and 40% Barclays U.S Aggregate Bond Index. From 2nd quarter 2006 to the 1st quarter 2010, the benchmark is 45% S&P 500, 10% S&P 400 Mid Cap, 5% Russell 2000®, 7% MSCI EAFE and 33% Barclays U.S. Aggregate. From 2nd quarter 2010, the benchmark is 39% S&P 500, 8% S&P 400, 4% Russell 2000, 18% MSCI EAFE and 31% Barclays US Aggregate Bond Index).
- Over the past year, the Retirement Plan's return of 0.2% trailed the 2.4% return of the Fund's benchmark and ranked in the 36th percentile in the universe of total funds.
- During the past three years, the Retirement Plan returned 12.5%, marginally below the benchmark of 12.6% and ranked in the 28<sup>th</sup> percentile. Results over the past five years are above the benchmark, 2.5% vs. 2.4% respectively.
- Over the past seven years, the Retirement Plan returned 5.1%, above the 4.7% return of the benchmark, and ranked in the 42<sup>nd</sup> percentile of total funds. Results over 10 years were also above benchmark (6.2% vs. 5.8%) and rank in the 46<sup>th</sup> percentile.

#### Equity Portfolios

- ICC Capital had a return of -6.4%, which was below the -2.8% return of the S&P 500 and the -2.2% return of the Russell 1000® Value Index. ICC Capital's second quarter performance ranked in the 86<sup>th</sup> percentile in the universe of equity portfolios.
- The RhumbLine Advisors S&P 500 Index fund returned -2.7% during the quarter, marginally above the return of the S&P 500 of -2.8%. The portfolio ranked in the 43<sup>rd</sup> percentile of the passive large cap equity manager universe.
- The RhumbLine Advisors S&P 400 mid capitalization index portfolio had a second quarter return of
  -5.1%, just below the performance of the S&P 400 Mid Cap Index return of -4.9%. RhumbLine's
  S&P 400 Index fund's second quarter performance ranked in the 88<sup>th</sup> percentile in the passive mid
  cap universe of equity managers.
- The RhumbLine Advisors S&P 600 small capitalization index portfolio had a first quarter return of -3.6%, matching the performance of the S&P 600 Small Cap Index. The portfolio ranked in the 68th

percentile of passive small cap equity managers.

#### Fixed Income Portfolios

- The Rhumbline Barclays U.S. Aggregate bond fund returned 2.1% in the second quarter. This
  return matched the Barclays U.S. Aggregate Index return and ranked in the 38<sup>th</sup> percentile of core
  fixed income portfolios.
- The Wellington fixed income portfolio returned 2.4% during the quarter, above the 2.1% return of the Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond Index. Wellington's performance ranked in the 24<sup>th</sup> percentile in the universe of fixed income managers.

#### International Equity Portfolios

- The Rhumbline ADR portfolio returned -6.5% during the second quarter, slightly above the -6.6% return of the S&P ADR Index, and the -6.9% return of the MSCI EAFE. The portfolio ranked in the 40<sup>th</sup> percentile of international equity managers.
- WHV had a second quarter return of -5.2%, which was above the -6.9% return of the MSCI EAFE Index. WHV's performance ranked in the 21<sup>st</sup> percentile in the universe of international equity managers.
- WHV Emerging Market portfolio was funded May 21, 2012. We will include performance in the September 30, 2012 report.

# II - Asset Allocation and Asset Growth Review Comments on Asset Allocation

The Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan had a total market value of \$409,103,942 as of June 30, 2012, which represents an decrease of \$14.9 million from the March 31, 2012 market value of \$424,058,894.

#### Net Contributions/Withdrawals

Net withdrawals totaled approximately \$3.4 million during the second quarter. The RhumbLine
Advisors S&P 400 had net withdrawals of \$100 thousand. The cash account had net withdrawals of
\$539 thousand. The RhumbLine Advisors S&P 600 had net withdrawals of \$2.8 million. The
Rhumbline ADR account had withdrawals of \$9.8 million. The WHV Emerging Market portfolio had
net contribution of \$9.8 million.

#### Investment Gains/Losses

 During the second quarter of 2012, the Retirement Plan experienced an investment loss of approximately \$11.5 million. The Retirement Plan's domestic equity managers posted a second quarter investment loss of \$9.5 million; the international equity portfolios posted a loss of \$4.7 million. The fixed income managers posted a gain of \$2.7 million.

#### Current Actual Asset Allocation

- As shown in the Actual & Target Asset Allocation table, as of June 30, 2012, the Retirement Plan
  had an allocation of 39.5% to the large cap domestic equity managers, 9.3% to mid cap equity,
  4.2% to small cap equity, 29.8% to domestic fixed income, 17.2% to international equity, and 0.0%
  to cash equivalents.
- Relative to March 31, 2012, the Retirement Plan had a lower allocation to large cap equity (39.5% vs. 39.6%), mid cap equity (9.3% vs. 9.5%), small cap equity (4.2% vs.4.9%), international equity (17.2% vs. 17.7%), cash (0.0% vs. 0.1%), and a higher allocation to fixed income (29.8% vs. 28.1%).
- As of June 30, 2012, the allocations to large cap equity (39.5% vs. 39.0%), mid cap equity (9.3% vs. 8.0%), small cap equity (4.2% vs. 4.0%) were above their target allocation levels. The allocation to international equity (17.2% vs.18.0%) and fixed income (29.8% vs. 31.0%) were below target. Cash remained on target at 0.0%.

# III - Performance Review Comments on Investment Manager Performance

#### **Total Fund Performance**

- The Employees' Retirement Plan had a return of -2.7% during the second quarter of 2012, and ranked in the 86<sup>th</sup> percentile of the total fund universe. This return was below the benchmark return of -2.1%.
- Over the past year, the Retirement Plan's return of 0.2% trailed the 2.4% return of the Fund's benchmark and ranked in the 36<sup>th</sup> percentile in the universe of total funds.
- Total Fund returns trail over the past two and four year periods, but are above the benchmark otherwise.
- Over the past seven years, the Retirement Plan returned 5.1%, above the 4.7% return of the benchmark, and ranked in the 42<sup>nd</sup> percentile of total funds. Results over 10 years were also above benchmark (6.2% vs. 5.8%) and ranked in the 46<sup>th</sup> percentile.
- Results since January 1994 are 7.8% versus 7.4% for the total fund benchmark.

#### **Equity Portfolio Performance**

#### ICC Capital

- ICC Capital's portfolio returned -6.4%, which was significantly below the -2.8% return of the S&P 500 and the -2.2% return of the Russell 1000® Value Index. ICC Capital's second quarter performance ranked in the 86<sup>th</sup> percentile in the universe of equity portfolios.
- Over the past year, ICC's return of -4.7% was significantly below the 5.4% return of S&P 500 and the 3.0% return of the Russell 1000® Value. The return ranked in the 93<sup>rd</sup> percentile.
- For the five-year period, ICC's return of -1.4% was better than the Russell 1000® Value Index return of -2.2%, but below the S&P 500 Index return of 0.2%, and ranked in the 84<sup>th</sup> percentile of large cap equity managers.
- During the past ten years, the manager trailed the S&P 500 (4.9% versus 5.3%) and the Russell 1000® Value Index return of 5.3% and ranked in the 85th percentile large cap of equity managers.

#### Rhumbline Advisors – S&P 500

- The Rhumbline Advisors' S&P 500 Index portfolio return -2.7% in the second quarter marginally above the return of the S&P 500 and ranked in the 43<sup>rd</sup> percentile of passive large cap equity managers.
- Over the past year, the portfolio has returned 5.4%, matching the return of the S&P 500 and ranked in the 71st percentile.
- The portfolio has slightly exceeded the S&P 500 over most trailing time periods 4 years and longer.

#### Rhumbline Advisors – S&P 400 Mid Cap

- The mid cap index portfolio managed by Rhumbline returned -5.1% in the second quarter, marginally below the return of the S&P 400 Mid Cap benchmark. The second quarter return ranked in the 88th percentile of passive mid cap equity managers.
- Over the past year, the portfolio has returned -2.4% marginally below the index return and ranked in the 99th percentile.
- The portfolio has matched or exceeded the S&P 400 over all longer trailing time periods 4 years and longer, extending to ten years.

#### Rhumbline Advisors – S&P 600 Small Cap

• The Rhumbline Advisors' small cap index portfolio returned -3.6% in the second quarter, matching the -3.6% return of the S&P 600 Small Cap benchmark. The second quarter return ranked in the 68th percentile of passive small cap equity managers. Over the past year the fund returned 1.3%, marginally below the benchmark return of 1.4%. The portfolio has matched or slightly trailed the S&P 600 over all longer trailing periods.

#### Rhumbline Advisors – ADR

• Rhumbline's second quarter international equity segment return of -6.5% was slightly above the return of the S&P ADR of -6.6% and ranked in the 40<sup>th</sup> percentile of the international equity universe. Over the past year the fund's return of -12.8% is just above the benchmark and ranked in the 52<sup>nd</sup> percentile of the international equity universe. Two years results slightly trailed the benchmark at 6.7% vs. 6.8% for the benchmark.

#### Fixed Income Portfolio Performance

Rhumbline Barclays U.S. Aggregate

- The Rhumbline fixed income index account returned 2.1% in the second quarter, matching the Barclays U.S. Aggregate return of 2.1% and ranked in the 38th percentile of fixed income portfolios.
- Over the past year, the account has returned 7.2%, slightly below the return of the Barclays U.S.
  Aggregate, 7.5%, and ranked in the 47<sup>th</sup> percentile of fixed income portfolios. Over the past four
  years, the portfolio returned 6.8%, just above the benchmark return of 6.7% and ranked in the 59<sup>th</sup>
  percentile. Five years results match the benchmark at 6.8%.

#### Wellington Management

- The Wellington Management portfolio second quarter return of 2.4%, was marginally above the benchmark return of 2.1% and ranked in the 24th percentile in the universe of fixed income portfolios, (For periods up to and including 1st quarter 1997, the Barclays Intermediate Gov't/Corp. Bond Index is the benchmark, and for subsequent periods, the benchmark is the Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond Index.)
- Over the past year, Wellington's return of 8.6% was significantly above the 7.5% return of the benchmark and ranked in the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile.
- Wellington has outperformed the benchmark over all trailing periods one year and longer.

#### International Equity Portfolio Performance

Rhumbline Advisors

- Rhumbline's ADR portfolio returned -6.5% in the second quarter, just above the -6.6% return of the S&P ADR Index and ranked in the 40<sup>th</sup> percentile of the international equity universe.
- Over the past year, Rhumbline's ADR portfolio returned -12.8%, slightly below the return of the S&P ADR Index and ranked in the 52<sup>nd</sup> percentile. Two years results are also marginally below the benchmark, 6.7% vs. 6.8%, respectively.


#### WHV

- The WHV portfolio returned -5.2% in the second quarter, which was significantly above the -6.9% return of the MSCI EAFE Index and ranked in the 21st percentile of international equity managers.
- Over the past year, WHV's return of -13.0% is above the -13.4% return of the MSCI EAFE and ranked in the 57th percentile.
- For the five-year period, WHV's return of -0.6% significantly exceeded the MSCI EAFE return of -5.6% and ranked in the 7<sup>th</sup> percentile of international equity managers.

• For the seven-year period, Wentworth's return of 9.5% also significantly exceeded the MSCI EAFE return of 2.8% and ranked in the 2<sup>nd</sup> percentile.

#### Investment Management Fee Summary

• In the second quarter of 2012, investment management fees reduced performance in the ICC Capital portfolio by approximately 9 basis points, all RhumbLine Advisor accounts were reduced by approximately 1 bp, WHV Investment Management by 21 bp and Wellington by 6 bp. These results are similar to previous quarters and are as expected.



#### Allocation vs. Targets and Policy

	Current Balance	Current Allocation	Policy	Difference	Target Ranges
Large Cap	\$161,585,892	39.5%	39.0%	\$2,035,355	0.0% - 60.0%
Mid Cap	\$38,032,347	9.3%	8.0%	\$5,304,031	0.0% - 10.0%
Small Cap	\$17,253,108	4.2%	4.0%	\$888,950	0.0% - 5.0%
Intl. Equity	\$70,354,672	17.2%	18.0%	-\$3,284,038	0.0% - 25.0%
Fixed In.	\$121,872,523	29.8%	31.0%	-\$4,949,699	0.0% - 100.0%
Cash	\$5,400	0.0%	0.0%	\$5,400	0.0% - 100.0%
Total	\$409,103,942	100.0%	100.0%		

Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan 2nd Quarter, 2012

### Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan Asset Allocation by Manager As of June 30, 2012

	Total Market Value	% of Portfolio	Large Cap	Mid Cap	Small Cap	Intl. Equity	Fixed In.	Cash
Total Fund								
Domestic Equity								
Large Cap Equity								
ICC Capital	\$46,686,342	11.4%	\$46,686,342					
Rhumbline 500	\$114,899,550	28.1%	\$114,899,550					
Mid Cap Equity								
Rhumbline 400	\$38,032,347	9.3%		\$38,032,347				
Small Cap Equity								
Rhumbline 600	\$17,253,108	4.2%			\$17,253,108			
Fixed Income								
Rhumbline Aggregate	\$41,500,444	10.1%					\$41,500,444	
Wellington Management	\$80,372,078	19.6%					\$80,372,078	
International Equity								
Rhumbline ADR	\$20,340,673	5.0%				\$20,340,673		
WHV	\$40,036,691	9.8%				\$40,036,691		
WHV Emerging Markets	\$9,977,308	2.4%				\$9,977,308		
Trust Account	\$5,400	0.0%						\$5,400
Total	\$409,103,942	100.0%	\$161,585,892	\$38,032,347	\$17,253,108	\$70,354,672	\$121,872,523	\$5,400
Percent of Total			39.5%	9.3%	4.2%	17.2%	29.8%	0.0%
Difference from Target (%)			0.5%	1.3%	0.2%	-0.8%	-1.2%	0.0%
Difference from Target (\$)			\$2,035,355	\$5,304,031	\$888,950	-\$3,284,038	-\$4,949,699	\$5,400

Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan 2nd Quarter, 2012

#### **Quarterly Cash Flow Summary Ending June 30, 2012**

	Beginning Market Value	Withdrawals	Contributions	Net Cash Flow	Fees	Net Investment Change	Ending Market Value	Quarter Return
ICC Capital	\$49,878,469	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$40,944	-\$3,192,127	\$46,686,342	-6.4%
Rhumbline 500	\$118,132,028	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$11,422	-\$3,232,477	\$114,899,550	-2.7%
Rhumbline 400	\$40,370,628	-\$5,100,000	\$5,000,000	-\$100,000	-\$4,034	-\$2,238,282	\$38,032,347	-5.1%
Rhumbline 600	\$20,911,291	-\$2,800,000	\$0	-\$2,800,000	-\$1,815	-\$858,183	\$17,253,108	-3.6%
Rhumbline Aggregate	\$40,662,937	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$4,137	\$837,507	\$41,500,444	2.1%
Wellington Management	\$78,504,073	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$49,929	\$1,868,006	\$80,372,078	2.4%
Rhumbline ADR	\$32,811,968	-\$9,818,645	\$0	-\$9,818,645	-\$2,920	-\$2,652,650	\$20,340,673	-6.5%
WHV	\$42,242,875	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$83,692	-\$2,206,184	\$40,036,691	-5.2%
WHV Emerging Markets		\$0	\$9,816,496	\$9,816,496	\$0	\$160,812	\$9,977,308	
Trust Account	\$544,625	-\$2,034,531	\$1,495,299	-\$539,232	\$0	\$7	\$5,400	0.0%
Total	\$424,058,894	-\$19,753,176	\$16,311,795	-\$3,441,381	-\$198,894	-\$11,513,571	\$409,103,942	

### **Summary of Cash Flows Ending June, 30, 2012**

	Second Quarter	One Year	Three Years	Five Years	Seven Years	Ten Years
Beginning Market Value	\$424,058,894	\$421,500,197	\$314,750,140	\$410,131,389	\$200,700,425	\$165,034,303
- Withdrawals	-\$19,753,176	-\$65,003,016	-\$179,081,328	-\$270,985,265	-\$338,169,789	-\$430,099,199
- Fees	-\$198,894	-\$465,771	-\$1,985,591	-\$3,436,408	-\$4,678,092	-\$5,999,629
+ Contributions	\$16,311,795	\$51,319,602	\$110,969,840	\$195,795,938	\$324,680,373	\$402,943,062
= Net Cash Flow	-\$3,441,381	-\$13,683,414	-\$68,111,489	-\$75,189,327	-\$13,489,416	-\$27,156,137
+ Net Investment Change	-\$11,513,571	\$1,287,160	\$162,465,291	\$74,161,880	\$221,892,934	\$271,225,776
= Ending Market Value	\$409,103,942	\$409,103,942	\$409,103,942	\$409,103,942	\$409,103,942	\$409,103,942
Net Change	-\$14,954,952	-\$12,396,255	\$94,353,802	-\$1,027,447	\$208,403,518	\$244,069,639

Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan

2nd Quarter, 2012

Page 40

#### Cumulative Performance Results by Manager - Before Fees Ending June 30, 2012

	2.14.	5 I	VTD	D I	4.37.	D l	0.1/	D. J	2.14	D. J	437	D I	5.V	D I	7.1/	D. J	40.1/	Dest	Datas	0.
	3 Mo F	Rank	YTD	Rank	1 Yr I	Rank	2 Yrs	Rank	3 Yrs	Rank		Rank	5 Yrs I	Rank	7 Yrs	Rank	10 Yrs	Rank	Return	Since
Total Fund	-2.7%	86	5.9%	36	0.2%	79	11.3%	41	12.5%	28	3.9%	50	2.5%	42	5.1%	42	6.2%	46	7.8%	Jan-94
Fund Benchmark IF All DB Gross Median	-2.1% -1.6%	67	6.2% 5.5%	26	2.4% 1.9%	44	12.2% 10.9%	26	12.6% 11.3%	26	4.7% 3.9%	35	2.4% 2.2%	44	4.7% 4.8%	56	5.8% 6.1%	59	7.4% 7.5%	Jan-94 Jan-94
Domestic Equity																				
Large Cap Equity																				
ICC Capital Russell 1000 Value S&P 500 eA US Large Cap Equity	-6.4% -2.2% -2.8%	86 18 25	6.1% 8.7% 9.5%	83 46 33	-4.7% 3.0% 5.4%	93 48 24	9.3% 15.2% 17.4%	98 63 35	11.9% 15.8% 16.4%	91 44 34	0.5% 2.5% 3.9%	91 69 47	-1.4% -2.2% 0.2%	84 90 64	3.0% 2.9% 4.1%	86 87 68	4.9% 5.3% 5.3%	85 77 77	8.9% 8.3% 8.0%	Oct-93 Oct-93 Oct-93
Gross Median	-4.3%		8.4%		2.7%		16.2%		15.4%		3.6%		1.0%		4.8%		6.2%		9.0%	Oct-93
Rhumbline 500 S&P 500 eA US Passive S&P 500	-2.7% -2.8%	43 61	9.5% 9.5%	71 44	5.4% 5.4%	71 57	17.3% 17.4%	78 40	16.4% 16.4%	82 67	4.0% 3.9%	11 78	0.4% <i>0.2</i> %	12 97	4.2% 4.1%	12 92	5.4% 5.3%	10 89	5.9% 5.8%	Apr-97 <i>Apr</i> -97
Equity Gross Median	-2.7%		9.5%		5.5%		17.4%		16.4%		3.9%		0.3%		4.1%		5.4%		5.8%	Apr-97
Mid Cap Equity																				
Rhumbline 400  S&P 400 MidCap	-5.1% -4.9%	88 84	7.8% 7.9%	98 85	-2.4% -2.3%	99 99	16.7% 16.7%	84 84	19.3% 19.4%	99 99	5.3% 5.2%	27 63	2.7% 2.5%	24 68	6.2% 6.1%	45 81	8.3% 8.2%	41 99	8.6% 8.5%	Jun-98 <i>Jun-</i> 98
eA US Passive Mid Cap Equity Gross Median	-4.9%		7.9%		-2.2%		16.7%		19.5%		5.2%		2.6%		6.2%		8.3%		8.6%	Jun-98
Small Cap Equity																				
Rhumbline 600 S&P 600 SmallCap	-3.6% -3.6%	68 63	7.9% 8.0%	99 99	1.3% 1.4%	9 9	17.8% <i>17.</i> 9%	27 26	19.6% 19.8%	8 2	6.3% 6.4%	3 1	1.8% 1.8%	37 37	 5.0%	 43	 7.6%	 28	3.2% 3.2%	Jan-07 <i>Jan-</i> 07
eA US Passive Small Cap Equity Gross Median	-3.5%		8.6%		-1.8%		16.2%		17.9%		5.4%		0.7%		4.7%		7.3%		1.8%	Jan-07
Fixed Income																				
Rhumbline Aggregate  Barclays Aggregate	2.1% 2.1%	38 38	2.2% 2.4%	72 69	7.2% 7.5%	47 43	5.6% 5.7%	61 <i>60</i>	7.1% 6.9%	59 61	6.8% 6.7%	59 60	6.8% 6.8%	53 <i>54</i>	 5.6%	 60	 5.6%	 59	6.8% 6.8%	Jul-07 <i>Jul-07</i>
eA All US Fixed Inc Gross Median	1.7%		3.2%		6.9%		6.3%		7.8%		7.1%		6.9%		5.9%		5.9%		6.9%	Jul-07

Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan 2nd Quarter, 2012

### Cumulative Performance Results by Manager - Before Fees Ending June 30, 2012

	3 Mo	Rank	YTD	Rank	1 Yr	Rank	2 Yrs	Rank	3 Yrs	Rank	4 Yrs	Rank	5 Yrs I	Rank	7 Yrs	Rank	10 Yrs	Rank	Return	Since
Wellington Management	2.4%	24	4.0%	13	8.6%	25	7.3%	14	9.4%	14	7.8%	38	7.3%	55	6.0%	52	6.1%	53	6.4%	Oct-93
Barclays Aggregate	2.1%	65	2.4%	88	7.5%	72	5.7%	82	6.9%	82	6.7%	85	6.8%	79	5.6%	83	5.6%	86	6.2%	Oct-93
eA US Core Fixed Inc Gross Median	2.2%		3.2%		8.0%		6.3%		8.0%		7.5%		7.4%		6.1%		6.1%		6.5%	Oct-93
International Equity																				
Rhumbline ADR	-6.5%	40	2.3%	85	-12.8%	52	6.7%	69						-				-	-1.4%	Apr-10
S&P ADR Index	-6.6%	44	1.8%	91	-12.9%	52	6.8%	67											-1.3%	Apr-10
eA All ACWI ex-US Equity Gross Median	-7.0%		4.7%		-12.5%		7.9%		9.3%		-2.4%		-3.4%		5.0%		8.3%		1.7%	Apr-10
WHV	-5.2%	21	3.8%	66	-13.0%	57	10.4%	24	12.1%	15	-5.0%	84	-0.6%	7	9.5%	2			13.6%	Oct-03
MSCI EAFE Gross	-6.9%	55	3.4%	72	-13.4%	64	6.5%	72	6.5%	81	-4.5%	75	-5.6%	73	2.8%	77	5.6%	80	6.2%	Oct-03
eA All EAFE Equity Gross Median	-6.6%		4.9%		-12.3%		8.1%		8.7%		-2.9%		-4.5%		4.0%		6.9%		7.4%	Oct-03

Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan

2nd Quarter, 2012

Page 40

#### Calendar Year Performance by Manager - Before Fees Ending June 30, 2012

	3 Mo (%)	YTD (%)	2011 (%)	2010 (%)	2009 (%)	2008 (%)	2007 (%)	2006 (%)	2005 (%)	2004 (%)	2003 (%)
Total Fund	-2.7	5.9	-0.8	14.5	24.9	-26.0	8.6	11.5	7.3	10.3	21.1
Fund Benchmark	-2.1	6.2	1.3	13.6	21.5	-24.6	6.4	11.3	5.1	9.4	19.8
Domestic Equity											
Large Cap Equity											
ICC Capital	-6.4	6.1	-6.7	13.7	28.5	-36.6	9.3	15.6	7.5	12.2	33.9
Russell 1000 Value	-2.2	8.7	0.4	15.5	19.7	-36.8	-0.2	22.2	7.0	16.5	30.0
Rhumbline 500	-2.7	9.5	2.1	15.1	26.4	-36.6	5.6	15.9	4.9	10.8	28.6
S&P 500	-2.8	9.5	2.1	15.1	26.5	-37.0	5.5	15.8	4.9	10.9	28.7
Mid Cap Equity											
Rhumbline 400	-5.1	7.8	-1.7	26.6	37.4	-35.9	8.0	10.2	12.9	16.5	35.5
S&P 400 MidCap	-4.9	7.9	-1.7	26.6	37.4	-36.2	8.0	10.3	12.5	16.5	35.6
Small Cap Equity											
Rhumbline 600	-3.6	7.9	1.1	26.2	25.3	-30.8	-0.2				
S&P 600 SmallCap	-3.6	8.0	1.0	26.3	25.6	-31.1	-0.3	15.1	4.6	22.6	38.8
Fixed Income											
Rhumbline Aggregate	2.1	2.2	7.7	6.9	6.5	4.7					
Barclays Aggregate	2.1	2.4	7.8	6.5	5.9	5.2	7.0	4.3	2.4	4.3	4.1
Wellington Management	2.4	4.0	7.9	8.9	14.5	-3.3	6.3	4.6	2.7	4.8	5.0
Barclays Aggregate	2.1	2.4	7.8	6.5	5.9	5.2	7.0	4.3	2.4	4.3	4.1
International Equity											
Rhumbline ADR	-6.5	2.3	-11.6				-				-
S&P ADR Index	-6.6	1.8	-10.7	7.5							
WHV	-5.2	3.8	-13.2	18.8	55.2	-47.5	42.0	22.7	36.3	27.5	
MSCI EAFE Gross	-6.9	3.4	-11.7	8.2	32.5	-43.1	11.6	26.9	14.0	20.7	39.2

Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan Page 13

#### Analysis of Manager's Value Added Performance vs. Market Indexes

	3 Mo (%)	YTD (%)	1 Yr (%)	2 Yrs (%)	3 Yrs (%)	4 Yrs (%)	5 Yrs (%)	7 Yrs (%)	10 Yrs (%)
Total Fund	-2.7	5.9	0.2	11.3	12.5	3.9	2.5	5.1	6.2
Fund Benchmark	<u>-2.1</u>	<u>6.2</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>12.2</u>	<u>12.6</u>	<u>4.7</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>4.7</u>	<u>5.8</u>
Over/Under	-0.6	-0.3	-2.2	-0.9	-0.1	-0.7	0.1	0.4	0.4
Domestic Equity  Large Cap Equity									
ICC Capital	-6.4	6.1	-4.7	9.3	11.9	0.5	-1.4	3.0	4.9
Russell 1000 Value	<u>-2.2</u>	<u>8.7</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>15.2</u>	<u>15.8</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>-2.2</u>	<u>2.9</u>	<u>5.3</u>
Over/Under	-4.2	-2.6	-7.8	-6.0	-3.9	-1.9	8.0	0.1	-0.3
Rhumbline 500	-2.7	9.5	5.4	17.3	16.4	4.0	0.4	4.2	5.4
S&P 500	<u>-2.8</u>	<u>9.5</u>	<u>5.4</u>	<u>17.4</u>	<u>16.4</u>	<u>3.9</u>	<u>0.2</u>	<u>4.1</u>	<u>5.3</u>
Over/Under	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Mid Cap Equity									
Rhumbline 400	-5.1	7.8	-2.4	16.7	19.3	5.3	2.7	6.2	8.3
S&P 400 MidCap	<u>-4.9</u>	<u>7.9</u>	<u>-2.3</u>	<u>16.7</u>	<u>19.4</u>	<u>5.2</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>6.1</u>	<u>8.2</u>
Over/Under	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Small Cap Equity									
Rhumbline 600	-3.6	7.9	1.3	17.8	19.6	6.3	1.8		
S&P 600 SmallCap	<u>-3.6</u>	<u>8.0</u>	<u>1.4</u>	<u>17.9</u>	<u>19.8</u>	<u>6.4</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>5.0</u>	<u>7.6</u>
Over/Under	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	0.0		
Fixed Income									
Rhumbline Aggregate	2.1	2.2	7.2	5.6	7.1	6.8	6.8		
Barclays Aggregate	<u>2.1</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>7.5</u>	<u>5.7</u>	<u>6.9</u>	<u>6.7</u>	<u>6.8</u>	<u>5.6</u>	<u>5.6</u>
Over/Under	0.0	-0.1	-0.3	-0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0		
Wellington Management	2.4	4.0	8.6	7.3	9.4	7.8	7.3	6.0	6.1
Barclays Aggregate	<u>2.1</u>	<u>2.4</u>	<u>7.5</u>	<u>5.7</u>	<u>6.9</u>	<u>6.7</u>	<u>6.8</u>	<u>5.6</u>	<u>5.6</u>
Over/Under	0.3	1.7	1.1	1.6	2.5	1.1	0.5	0.5	0.4
International Equity									
Rhumbline ADR	-6.5	2.3	-12.8	6.7					
S&P ADR Index	<u>-6.6</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>-12.9</u>	<u>6.8</u>					
Over/Under	0.2	0.5	0.1	-0.1					
WHV	-5.2	3.8	-13.0	10.4	12.1	-5.0	-0.6	9.5	
MSCI EAFE Gross	<u>-6.9</u>	<u>3.4</u>	<u>-13.4</u>	<u>6.5</u>	<u>6.5</u>	<u>-4.5</u>	<u>-5.6</u>	<u>2.8</u>	<u>5.6</u>
Over/Under	1.6	0.4	0.4	3.9	5.6	-0.6	5.0	6.8	

Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan


#### **Cumulative Performance Results by Manager - Net of Fees Ending June 30, 2012**

	3 Mo (%)	YTD (%)	1 Yr (%)	2 Yrs (%)	3 Yrs (%)	4 Yrs (%)	5 Yrs (%)	7 Yrs (%)	10 Yrs (%)
Domestic Equity  Large Cap Equity									
ICC Capital	-6.5	5.9	-5.1	8.9	11.5	0.2	-1.8	2.6	4.6
Russell 1000 Value	-2.2	8.7	3.0	15.2	15.8	2.5	-2.2	2.9	5.3
S&P 500	-2.8	9.5	5.4	17.4	16.4	3.9	0.2	4.1	5.3
Rhumbline 500	-2.7	9.4	5.4	17.3	16.3	4.0	0.3	4.2	5.4
S&P 500	-2.8	9.5	5.4	17.4	16.4	3.9	0.2	4.1	5.3
Mid Cap Equity									
Rhumbline 400	-5.1	7.8	-2.4	16.6	19.3	5.2	2.6	6.2	8.2
S&P 400 MidCap	-4.9	7.9	-2.3	16.7	19.4	5.2	2.5	6.1	8.2
Small Cap Equity									
Rhumbline 600	-3.6	7.8	1.3	17.7	19.6	6.2	1.8		
S&P 600 SmallCap	-3.6	8.0	1.4	17.9	19.8	6.4	1.8	5.0	7.6
Fixed Income									
Rhumbline Aggregate	2.0	2.2	7.1	5.5	7.1	6.7	6.8		
Barclays Aggregate	2.1	2.4	7.5	5.7	6.9	6.7	6.8	5.6	5.6
Wellington Management	2.3	3.9	8.4	7.0	9.2	7.5	7.1	5.8	5.8
Barclays Aggregate	2.1	2.4	7.5	5.7	6.9	6.7	6.8	5.6	5.6
International Equity									
Rhumbline ADR	-6.5	2.3	-12.9	6.6					
S&P ADR Index	-6.6	1.8	-12.9	6.8					
WHV	-5.4	3.4	-13.7	9.6	11.2	-5.8	-1.4	8.6	
MSCI EAFE Gross	-6.9	3.4	-13.4	6.5	6.5	-4.5	-5.6	2.8	5.6

Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan 2nd Quarter, 2012 Page 15


#### **Total Fund Performance & Charateristics**

#### **Annualized Excess Performance**



Quarterly Out Performance
Quarterly Under Performance
Annualized Excess Performance

#### Return Summary Ending June 30, 2012




**Top Holdings** 

APPLE	1.84%
EXXON MOBIL	1.37%
AT&T	1.31%
CANADIAN NATIONAL RY.	1.31%
CHEVRON	1.17%
BHP BILLITON ADR 1:2	1.09%
BRITISH AMER.TOBACCO ADR 1:2	1.04%
PFIZER	1.04%
JP MORGAN CHASE & CO.	0.92%
NESTLE SPN.ADR.REGD.SHS. ADR 1:1	0.92%

### Characteristics

	Portfolio	Russell 3000
Number of Holdings	1,712	2,993
Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B)	67.36	88.43
Median Market Cap. (\$B)	3.19	0.93
Price To Earnings	17.03	16.92
Price To Book	3.03	3.48
Price To Sales	2.10	2.28
Return on Equity (%)	18.55	16.92
Yield (%)	2.31	2.03
Beta	0.76	1.00
R-Squared	0.99	1.00
INDUSTRY SECTOR DISTRIBUTION (% B	Equity)	
Energy	11.70	9.84
Materials	7.89	3.93

# Annualized Return vs. Annualized Standard Deviation 10 Years Ending June 30, 2012



- Total Fund
- Fund Benchmark
- Universe Median
- IF All DB Gross

		Consumer Discretionary
		Consumer Staples
	1	Health Care
		Financials
	25	Information Technology
	257 Portfolios	Telecommunications
	ortfol	Utilities
	ios	COMPANY SIZE DISTRIBUTION
		Weighted Ave. Market Cap. (\$B)
_		Median Market Cap. (\$B)
20	0.0	Large Cap. (%)
		Medium/Large Cap. (%)

Industrials

Medium/Large Cap. (%)
Medium Cap. (%)
Medium/Small Cap. (%)
Small Cap. (%)

12.84

9.92

9.79

10.52

16.25

13.42

3.62

3.96

67.36

3.19

36.20

24.34

18.59

12.97

7.89

11.09

12.02

9.68

11.97

15.89

18.99

2.84

3.75

88.43

0.93

41.01

25.20

16.98

9.55

7.26

#### **Total Fund Performance & Charaterstics**


				3 Mc	Rank	ι Y	TD R	ank	1 Yr	Rank	2 Yrs	Rank	< 3 Y	⁄rs	Rank	4 Yrs	Rank	5 Yrs	Rank	( 7 Y	rs F	Rank	10 Yrs	Rank
<b>Total Fund</b>				-2.7%	86	5	.9%	36	0.2%	79	11.3%	4	1 12.	5%	28	3.9%	50	2.5%	42	2 5.1	<b>l%</b>	42	6.2%	46
Fund Benchm	nark			-2.1%	67	7 6	.2%	26	2.4%	44	12.2%	26	6 12.6	6%	26	4.7%	35	2.4%	44	4.7	7%	56	5.8%	59
IF All DB Gro	oss Mediai	1		-1.6%	, )	5	5.5%		1.9%		10.9%		11.3	3%		3.9%		2.2%		4.8	3%		6.1%	
Total Fund	YTD   5.9%	Rank <b>36</b>	2011	Rank 81	2010 <b>14.5</b> %	Rank 21	2009 <b>24.9%</b>	Rank	2008 <b>-26.0</b> %	Rank	2007 F	Rank 37	2006 11.5%	Ranl 6:		Rank	2004 <b>10.3</b> %		2003 <b>21.1%</b>	Rank <b>49</b>	2002 -9.2%	2 Rank		Rank
Fund Benchmark	6.2%	26	1.3%	54	13.6%	38	21.5%	30	-24.6%	56	6.4%	76	11.3%	6	5 5.1%	79	9.4%	74	19.8%	59	-8.3%	39	-2.6%	50
IF All DB Gross Median	5.5%		1.7%		12.9%		17.8%		-23.8%		7.9%		12.0%		7.3%		11.0%		20.8%		-9.2%	ó	-2.7%	

Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan

2nd Quarter, 2012

Page 47

## Annualized Return vs. Annualized Standard Deviation 3 Years Ending June 30, 2012




- Total Fund
- Fund Benchmark
- ▲ Universe Median
- IF All DB Gross

#### Risk vs. Return for 3 Years Ending June 30, 2012

Rank within IF All DB Gross (USD) (peer)	Annualized Return	Percentile Rank	Standard Deviation
Total Fund	12.5%	28	15.2%
Fund Benchmark	12.6%	26	13.5%
Median for this Universe	11.3%		9.2%

## Annualized Return vs. Annualized Standard Deviation 5 Years Ending June 30, 2012




#### Risk vs. Return for 5 Years Ending June 30, 2012

Rank within IF All DB Gross (USD) (peer)	Annualized Return	Percentile Rank	Standard Deviation
Total Fund	2.5%	42	16.4%
Fund Benchmark	2.4%	44	15.1%
Median for this Universe	2.2%		11.7%

Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan

## Annualized Return vs. Annualized Standard Deviation 7 Years Ending June 30, 2012




- Total Fund
- Fund Benchmark
- Universe Median
- IF All DB Gross

### Risk vs. Return for 7 Years Ending June 30, 2012

Rank within IF All DB Gross (USD) (peer)	Annualized Return	Percentile Rank	Standard Deviation
Total Fund	5.1%	42	14.1%
Fund Benchmark	4.7%	56	13.0%
Median for this Universe	4.8%		10.3%

#### Annualized Return vs. Annualized Standard Deviation 10 Years Ending June 30, 2012



- Total Fund
- Fund Benchmark
- Universe Median
- IF All DB Gross

#### Risk vs. Return for 10 Years Ending June 30, 2012


Rank within IF All DB Gross (USD) (peer)	Annualized Return	Percentile Rank	Standard Deviation
Total Fund	6.2%	46	13.1%
Fund Benchmark	5.8%	59	12.1%
Median for this Universe	6.1%		9.7%

Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan


2nd Quarter, 2012

Page 19

#### **Annualized Excess Performance**



#### Return Summary Ending June 30, 2012




Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan

Russell 1000 Value

#### **Top Holdings**

AT&T
CBS 'B'
ABBOTT LABORATORIES
AMER.ELEC.PWR.
PFIZER
JP MORGAN CHASE & CO.
CHEVRON
APACHE
VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS
INTEL

# Annualized Return vs. Annualized Standard Deviation 10 Years Ending June 30, 2012



- ICC Capital
- ◆ Russell 1000 Value
- eA US Large Cap Equity Gross

#### **Characteristics**


	3.6%		Portfolio	Russell 1000 Value
	3.1%	Number of Holdings	54	690
		Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B)	54.09	85.13
	2.9%	Median Market Cap. (\$B)	19.08	4.64
	2.8%	Price To Earnings	16.05	14.59
	2.7%	Price To Book	1.97	1.85
		Price To Sales	1.41	1.57
	2.7%	Return on Equity (%)	12.60	13.17
	2.7%	Yield (%)	2.58	2.54
	2.6%	Beta	1.12	1.00
		R-Squared	0.97	1.00
	2.5%	INDUSTRY SECTOR DISTRIBUTION (% Equity	)	
	2.5%	Energy	10.64	16.46
		Materials	4.43	3.88
		Industrials	10.18	9.16
		Consumer Discretionary	12.78	7.66
		Consumer Staples	5.75	7.18
		Health Care	13.37	11.78
		Financials	17.99	26.21
		Information Technology	9.24	6.73
		Telecommunications	7.98	3.68
		Utilities	7.66	7.26
_	1	COMPANY SIZE DISTRIBUTION		
		Weighted Ave. Market Cap. (\$B)	54.09	85.13
	υı	Median Market Cap. (\$B)	19.08	4.64
	572 Portfolios	Large Cap. (%)	32.72	42.09
	Port	Medium/Large Cap. (%)	31.62	28.04
	foli	Medium Cap. (%)	26.66	17.77
	SO	Medium/Small Cap. (%)	7.68	10.80
		Small Cap. (%)	1.32	1.30
_				

#### ICC Capital Performance Attribution vs. Russell 1000 Value **Attribution Effects** Returns **Ending Sector Weights** Total Selection Allocation Effects Effect Effect Portfolio Benchmark Portfolio Benchmark Energy -0.50% -0.56% 0.06% -12.22% -6.76% 10.64% 16.46% Materials -0.25% -0.21% -0.04% -14.60% -8.31% 4.43% 3.88% Industrials -0.27% -0.31% 0.04% -3.29% -0.53% 9.16% 10.18% Cons. Disc. -0.14% -0.20% 0.06% -2.70% -1.25% 12.78% 7.66% Cons. Staples -0.53% -0.51% -0.02% -8.12% -0.48% 5.75% 7.18% 11.78% Health Care -1.03% -1.00% -0.03% -7.01% 1.59% 13.37% Financials -1.23% -1.44% 0.20% -13.27% -6.75% 17.99% 26.21% Info. Tech -0.80% -0.48% -0.31% -12.33% -8.85% 9.24% 6.73% Telecomm. -0.21% -0.10% -0.12% 11.66% 14.24% 7.98% 3.68% Utilities -0.16% 0.12% -0.28% 9.45% 6.22% 7.66% 7.26% Cash 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% Portfolio -5.13% -4.68% -0.44% -7.68% -2.56% 100.00% 100.00% Method Effect 1.28% 0.36%

-6.40%

-2.20%

**Combined Performance** 



#### **Cumulative Performance Results Ending June 30, 2012**


	3 Mo	Rank	YTD	Rank	1 Yr	Rank	2 Yrs	Rank	3 Yrs	Rank	4 Yrs	Rank	5 Yrs	Rank	7 Yrs	Rank	10 Yrs	Rank
ICC Capital	-6.4%	86	6.1%	83	-4.7%	93	9.3%	98	11.9%	91	0.5%	91	-1.4%	84	3.0%	86	4.9%	85
Russell 1000 Value	-2.2%	18	8.7%	46	3.0%	48	15.2%	63	15.8%	44	2.5%	69	-2.2%	90	2.9%	87	5.3%	77
S&P 500	-2.8%	25	9.5%	33	5.4%	24	17.4%	35	16.4%	34	3.9%	47	0.2%	64	4.1%	68	5.3%	77

#### Calendar Performance Results Ending June 30, 2012

	YTD	Rank	2011	Rank	2010	Rank	2009	Rank	2008	Rank	2007	Rank	2006	Rank	2005	Rank	2004	Rank	2003	Rank
ICC Capital	6.1%	83	-6.7%	92	13.7%	66	28.5%	48	-36.6%	52	9.3%	43	15.6%	45	7.5%	52	12.2%	52	33.9%	21
Russell 1000 Value	8.7%	46	0.4%	51	15.5%	41	19.7%	85	-36.8%	56	-0.2%	92	22.2%	7	7.1%	57	16.5%	20	30.0%	45
S&P 500	9.5%	33	2.1%	34	15.1%	47	26.5%	56	-37.0%	57	5.5%	68	15.8%	43	4.9%	77	10.9%	64	28.7%	55


Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan

#### **Annualized Excess Performance**



Quarterly Out Performance
Quarterly Under Performance
Annualized Excess Performance

#### Return Summary Ending June 30, 2012




Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan

#### **Top Holdings**

APPLE	4.4%
EXXON MOBIL	3.2%
MICROSOFT	1.9%
INTERNATIONAL BUS.MCHS.	1.8%
GENERAL ELECTRIC	1.8%
AT&T	1.7%
CHEVRON	1.7%
JOHNSON & JOHNSON	1.5%
WELLS FARGO & CO	1.4%
COCA COLA	1.4%

#### Annualized Return vs. Annualized Standard Deviation 15 Years 3 Months Ending June 30, 2012



- Rhumbline 500
- ◆ S&P 500
- eA US Passive S&P 500 Equity Gross

#### Characteristics

Characteristics		
	Portfolio	S&P 500
Number of Holdings	501	500
Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B)	108.09	108.04
Median Market Cap. (\$B)	11.84	11.90
Price To Earnings	16.91	16.90
Price To Book	3.65	3.63
Price To Sales	2.26	2.11
Return on Equity (%)	22.88	20.79
Yield (%)	2.21	2.20
Beta	1.00	1.00
R-Squared	1.00	1.00
INDUSTRY SECTOR DISTRIBUTION (%	Equity)	
Energy	10.79	10.80
Materials	3.40	3.41
ndustrials	10.46	10.46
Consumer Discretionary	10.94	10.96
Consumer Staples	11.22	11.29
Health Care	11.98	11.99
inancials	14.39	14.41
Information Technology	19.72	19.74
Telecommunications	3.22	3.22
Jtilities	3.73	3.73
COMPANY SIZE DISTRIBUTION		
Weighted Ave. Market Cap. (\$B)	108.09	108.04
Median Market Cap. (\$B)	11.84	11.90
_arge Cap. (%)	50.82	50.70
Medium/Large Cap. (%)	29.74	29.76
Medium Cap. (%)	16.78	16.89
Medium/Small Cap. (%)	2.60	2.59
Small Cap. (%)	0.06	0.06

#### Rhumbline 500 Performance Attribution vs. S&P 500 Sector Returns (%) vs S&P 500 **Attribution Effects** Returns **Ending Sector Weights** Total Selection Allocation Energy Effects Effect Effect Benchmark Benchmark Portfolio Portfolio Materials Energy 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% -5.94% -5.94% 10.79% 10.80% Materials 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% -4.19% -4.18% 3.40% 3.41% Industrials Industrials 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% -3.56% -3.56% 10.46% 10.46% Cons. Disc. Cons. Disc. 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% -2.38% -2.38% 10.94% 10.96% Cons. Staples 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 2.95% 2.95% 11.29% Cons. Staples 11.22% Health Care 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 1.65% 1.65% 11.98% 11.99% Health Care 0.00% Financials 0.00% 0.00% -6.83% -6.83% 14.39% 14.41% Financials Info. Tech 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% -6.73% -6.73% 19.72% 19.74% Info. Tech 0.00% 3.22% Telecomm. 0.00% 0.00% 14.15% 14.15% 3.22% Utilities 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 6.56% 6.56% 3.73% 3.73% Telecomm. Cash 0.00% 0.00% Utilities Portfolio -2.73% -2.73% 99.84% 100.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% Method Effect -0.02% -0.01% -10.0 20.0 Combined Performance -2.74% -2.75% -4.02.0 8.0 14.0 Rhumbline 500 S&P 500

#### **Cumulative Performance Results Ending June 30, 2012**

	3 Mo (%)	Rank	YTD (%)	Rank	1 Yr (%)	Rank	2 Yrs (%)	Rank	3 Yrs (%)	Rank	4 Yrs (%)	Rank	5 Yrs (%)	Rank	7 Yrs (%)	Rank	10 Yrs (%)	Rank
Rhumbline 500	-2.7	43	9.5	71	5.4	71	17.3	78	16.4	82	4.0	11	0.4	12	4.2	12	5.4	10
S&P 500	-2.8	61	9.5	44	5.4	57	17.4	40	16.4	67	3.9	78	0.2	97	4.1	92	5.3	89

#### Calendar Year Performance Results Ending June 30, 2012


	YTD (%)	Rank	2011 (%)	Rank	2010 (%)	Rank	2009 (%)	Rank	2008 (%)	Rank	2007 (%)	Rank	2006 (%)	Rank	2005 (%)	Rank	2004 (%)	Rank	2003 (%)	Rank
Rhumbline 500	9.5	71	2.1	74	15.1	20	26.4	76	-36.6	22	5.6	30	15.9	30	4.9	91	10.9	64	28.6	68
S&P 500	9.5	46	2.1	69	15.1	33	26.5	75	-37.0	86	5.5	70	15.8	72	4.9	91	10.9	54	28.7	39

Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan 2nd Quarter, 2012


#### Rhumbline Advisors S&P 400

#### \$38.0 Million and 9.3% of Fund

#### **Annualized Excess Performance**




#### **Return Summary Ending June 30, 2012**



#### **Top Holdings**

VERTEX PHARMS.	1.1%
REGENERON PHARMS.	0.8%
EQUINIX	0.8%
AMETEK	0.7%
MACERICH	0.7%
CHURCH & DWIGHT CO.	0.7%
KANSAS CTY.STHN.	0.7%
HOLLYFRONTIER	0.7%
PETSMART	0.7%
SL GREEN REALTY	0.6%

#### **Annualized Return vs. Annualized Standard Deviation** 14 Years 1 Month Ending June 30, 2012




#### Characteristics

Onaracteristics		
	Portfolio	S&P 400 MidCap
Number of Holdings	400	400
Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B)	3.82	3.78
Median Market Cap. (\$B)	2.58	2.58
Price To Earnings	20.68	20.06
Price To Book	3.16	3.05
Price To Sales	2.39	2.04
Return on Equity (%)	13.79	13.27
Yield (%)	1.50	1.48
Beta	1.00	1.00
R-Squared	1.00	1.00
INDUSTRY SECTOR DISTRIBUTION (% Equity)	)	
Energy	5.31	5.37
Materials	6.84	6.88
Industrials	15.91	16.21
Consumer Discretionary	13.33	13.41
Consumer Staples	3.53	3.54
Health Care	10.97	11.03
Financials	22.94	22.30
Information Technology	15.51	15.54
Telecommunications	0.53	0.53
Utilities	5.13	5.18
COMPANY SIZE DISTRIBUTION		
Weighted Ave. Market Cap. (\$B)	3.82	3.78
Median Market Cap. (\$B)	2.58	2.58
Large Cap. (%)	0.00	0.00
Medium/Large Cap. (%)	0.00	0.00
Medium Cap. (%)	19.96	19.41
Medium/Small Cap. (%)	64.13	64.69
Small Cap. (%)	15.91	15.90

#### Rhumbline Advisors S&P 400

#### \$38.0 Million and 9.3% of Fund

#### Rhumbline 400 Performance Attribution vs. S&P 400 MidCap **Attribution Effects** Returns **Ending Sector Weights** Total Selection Allocation Effects Effect Effect Portfolio Benchmark Portfolio Benchmark Energy 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% -14.83% -14.89% 5.31% 5.37% Materials 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% -4.93% -4.95% 6.84% 6.88% 16.21% Industrials 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% -8.61% -8.60% 15.91% Cons. Disc. 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% -4.90% -4.87% 13.33% 13.41% Cons. Staples 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% -3.10% -3.12% 3.53% 3.54% Health Care 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.47% 0.47% 10.97% 11.03% 22.30% Financials 0.00% -0.01% 0.01% -0.90% -0.86% 22.94% Info. Tech 0.00% -0.01% 0.01% -10.24% -10.17% 15.51% 15.54% Telecomm. 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 6.28% 6.43% 0.53% 0.53% Utilities 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 5.19% 5.18% 5.13% 5.18% Cash 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% Portfolio 0.00% -0.02% 0.02% -4.99% -4.99% 100.00% 100.00% Method Effect 0.06% -0.07% **Combined Performance** -5.06% -4.93%



S&P 400 MidCap

#### **Cumulative Performance Results Ending June 30, 2012**

	3 Mo (%)	Rank	YTD (%)	Rank	1 Yr (%)	Rank	2 Yrs (%)	Rank	3 Yrs (%)	Rank	4 Yrs (%)	Rank	5 Yrs (%)	Rank	7 Yrs (%)	Rank	10 Yrs (%)	Rank
Rhumbline 400	-5.1	88	7.8	98	-2.4	99	16.7	84	19.3	99	5.3	27	2.7	24	6.2	45	8.3	41
S&P 400 MidCap	-4.9	84	7.9	85	-2.3	99	16.7	84	19.4	99	5.2	63	2.5	68	6.1	81	8.2	99

#### Calendar Performance Results Ending June 30, 2012


	YTD (%)	Rank	2011 (%)	Rank	2010 (%)	Rank	2009 (%)	Rank	2008 (%)	Rank	2007 (%)	Rank	2006 (%)	Rank	2005 (%)	Rank	2004 (%)	Rank	2003 (%)	Rank
Rhumbline 400	7.8	98	-1.7	81	26.6	66	37.4	50	-35.9	1	8.0	40	10.2	99	12.9	1	16.5	42	35.5	79
S&P 400 MidCap	7.9	85	-1.7	99	26.6	14	37.4	50	-36.2	57	8.0	80	10.3	83	12.5	87	16.5	67	35.6	48

2nd Quarter, 2012


#### Rhumbline Advisors S&P 600

#### \$17.3 Million and 4.2% of Fund

#### **Annualized Excess Performance**



#### **Return Summary Ending June 30, 2012**



#### **Top Holdings**

KILROY REALTY	0.7%
QUESTCOR PHARMS.	0.7%
EXTRA SPACE STRG.	0.7%
SALIX PHARMS.	0.7%
TANGER FAC.OUTLET CNTRS.	0.6%
MID-AMER.APT COMMUNITIES	0.6%
PROASSURANCE	0.6%
UTD.NTRL.FOODS	0.5%
POST PROPERTIES	0.5%
LASALLE HOTEL PROPERTIES	0.5%

### **Characteristics**

	Portfolio	S&P 600 SmallCap
Number of Holdings	600	600
Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B)	1.29	1.26
Median Market Cap. (\$B)	0.71	0.71
Price To Earnings	20.64	20.20
Price To Book	2.61	2.60
Price To Sales	2.12	1.80
Return on Equity (%)	12.50	11.13
Yield (%)	1.26	1.20
Beta	0.99	1.00
R-Squared	1.00	1.00
INDUSTRY SECTOR DISTRIBUTION (% E	Equity)	
Energy	3.98	4.02
Materials	5.57	5.64

Industrials

Health Care

Financials

Utilities

Consumer Discretionary

Information Technology

COMPANY SIZE DISTRIBUTION

Weighted Ave. Market Cap. (\$B)

Median Market Cap. (\$B)

Medium/Large Cap. (%)

Medium/Small Cap. (%)

Large Cap. (%)

Medium Cap. (%)


Small Cap. (%)

Telecommunications

**Consumer Staples** 

#### Annualized Return vs. Annualized Standard Deviation

5 Years 6 Months Ending June 30, 2012



- Rhumbline 600
- S&P 600 SmallCap
- eA US Passive Small Cap Equity Gross

Annualized Keturn	6.0 5.0 4.0 3.0 2.0 1.0 0.0		**************************************	<b>I</b>	12 Portfolios
	0.0	10.0	20.0	30.0	40.0
		Annualize	ed Standard	Deviation	

2nd Quarter, 2012 Page 26

15.31

15.33

4.65

11.46

20.08

18.43

0.54

4.21

1.29

0.71

0.00

0.00

0.45

12.17

87.38

15.34

15.40

4.68

11.40

20.15

18.58

0.55

4.25

1.26

0.71

0.00

0.00

0.00


12.24

87.76

#### Rhumbline 600 Performance Attribution vs. S&P 600 SmallCap

#### **Attribution Effects** Returns **Ending Sector Weights** Selection Total Allocation Effects Effect Effect Portfolio Portfolio Benchmark Benchmark Energy 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% -15.02% -14.97% 3.98% 4.02% Materials 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% -5.55% -5.57% 5.57% 5.64% Industrials 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% -6.06% -6.07% 15.31% 15.34% Cons. Disc. 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% -5.26% -5.27% 15.33% 15.40% Cons. Staples 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 5.04% 5.04% 4.65% 4.68% 11.40% Health Care 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 1.59% 1.57% 11.46% Financials -0.01% 0.00% -0.01% -0.08% -0.08% 20.08% 20.15% Info. Tech 0.01% 0.00% 0.01% -7.96% -7.97% 18.43% 18.58% Telecomm. 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% -5.70% -5.63% 0.54% 0.55% Utilities 4.21% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 3.54% 3.55% 4.25% 0.00% Cash 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% Portfolio 0.01% = 0.01% + 0.00% -3.79% -3.80% 99.55% 100.00% Method Effect 0.17% 0.22% **Combined Performance** -3.62% -3.58%

#### Sector Returns (%) vs S&P 600 SmallCap




#### **Cumalative Performance Summary Results Ending June 30, 2012**

	3 Mo (%)	Rank	YTD (%)	Rank	1 Yr (%)	Rank	2 Yrs (%)	Rank	3 Yrs (%)	Rank	4 Yrs (%)	Rank	5 Yrs (%)	Rank	7 Yrs (%)	Rank	10 Yrs (%)	Rank
Rhumbline 600	-3.6	68	7.9	99	1.3	9	17.8	27	19.6	8	6.3	3	1.8	37				
S&P 600 SmallCap	-3.6	63	8.0	99	1.4	9	17.9	26	19.8	2	6.4	1	1.8	37	5.0	43	7.6	28

#### Calendar Year Performance Results Ending June 30, 2012

	YTD (%)	Rank	2011 (%)	Rank	2010 (%)	Rank	2009 (%)	Rank	2008 (%)	Rank	2007 (%)	Rank	2006 (%)	Rank
Rhumbline 600	7.9	99	1.1	1	26.2	69	25.3	74	-30.8	27	-0.2	37		
S&P 600 SmallCap	8.0	99	1.0	1	26.3	67	25.6	74	-31.1	28	-0.3	37	15.1	73


## Annualized Return vs. Annualized Standard Deviation 3 Years Ending June 30, 2012



#### Risk vs. Return for 3 Years Ending June 30, 2012

Rank within eA All US Equity Gross (USD) (manager)	Annualized Return	Standard Deviation
ICC Capital	11.9%	22.9%
Rhumbline 500	16.4%	19.0%
Rhumbline 400	19.3%	23.3%
Rhumbline 600	19.6%	22.9%
Russell 1000 Value	15.8%	20.2%
S&P 500	16.4%	19.0%
Median for this Universe	17.0%	18.2%

## Annualized Return vs. Annualized Standard Deviation 5 Years Ending June 30, 2012



#### Risk vs. Return for 5 Years Ending June 30, 2012

Rank within eA US All Cap Equity Gross (USD) (manager)	Annualized Return	Standard Deviation
ICC Capital	-1.4%	24.0%
Rhumbline 500	0.4%	21.6%
Rhumbline 400	2.7%	25.4%
Rhumbline 600	1.8%	25.8%
Russell 1000 Value	-2.2%	23.2%
S&P 500	0.2%	21.7%
Median for this Universe	1.0%	20.6%

Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan


2nd Quarter, 2012

Page 28


This page left intentionally blank.

Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan 2nd Quarter, 2012

#### **Annualized Excess Performance**



#### **Return Summary** Ending June 30, 2012



Portfolio Characteristics	Rhumbline	Barclays Aggregate
Mkt Value	41,500,444	N/A
Eff Duration (Yrs.)	4.6	5.1
Wtd Avg. Yield (%)	3.9	2.0
Wtd Avg. Coupon (%)	4.4	3.8
Maturity	1.9	7.1
Quality	AA	AA1/AA2

Sector	Rhumbline	BC Aggregate
Corporate	21.1 %	20.6 %
Government	27.7	46.6
Mortgage	3.8	30.7
Foreign	3.4	0.0
Other/Cash**	44.0	2.1

Quality	Rhumbline	BC Aggregate
Govt/Agency	56.3 %	0.0 %
AAA	18.7	74.3
AA	4.2	4.5
Α	9.7	11.4
BBB	11.0	9.8
BB	0.1	0.0
Less than BB	0.0	0.0
Not Rated	0.0	0.0
Other	0.0	0.0
** Includes ABS		

#### **Cumalative Performance Results Ending June 30, 2012**


	3 Mo (%)	Rank	YTD (%)	Rank	1 Yr (%)	Rank	2 Yrs (%)	Rank	3 Yrs (%)	Rank	4 Yrs (%)	Rank	5 Yrs (%)	Rank	7 Yrs (%)	Rank	10 Yrs (%)	Rank
Rhumbline Aggregate	2.1	38	2.2	72	7.2	47	5.6	61	7.1	59	6.8	59	6.8	53				
Barclays Aggregate	2.1	38	2.4	69	7.5	43	5.7	60	6.9	61	6.7	60	6.8	54	5.6	60	5.6	59

#### Calendar Year Performance Results Ending June 30, 2012


	YTD (%)	Rank	2011 (%)	Rank	2010 (%)	Rank	2009 (%)	Rank	2008 (%)	Rank	2007 (%)	Rank
Rhumbline Aggregate	2.2	72	7.7	33	6.9	51	6.5	69	4.7	32		
Barclays Aggregate	2.4	69	7.8	32	6.5	57	5.9	73	5.2	27	7.0	33

Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan 2nd Quarter, 2012

#### **Annualized Excess Performance**



#### Return Summary Ending June 30, 2012



Wellington Management
Barclays Aggregate
Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan

Portfolio Characteristics	Wellington Mgmt.	Barclays Aggregate
Mkt Value	80,372,078	N/A
Eff Duration (Yrs.)	4.7	5.1
Wtd Avg. Yield (%)	2.7	2.0
Wtd Avg. Coupon (%)	3.9	3.8
Maturity	7.4	7.1
Quality	AA-	AA1/AA2

	Wellington	
Sector	Mgmt.	BC Aggregate
Corporate	31.0 %	20.6 %
Government	13.6	46.6
Mortgage	54.1	30.7
Foreign	0.0	0.0
Other	3.2	2.1
Cash	-2.0	0.0

	Wellington	
Quality	Mgmt.	BC Aggregate
Govt/Agency	0.0 %	0.0 %
AAA	67.7	74.3
AA	2.9	4.5
A	13.0	11.4
BBB	16.6	9.8
BB	0.0	0.0
Less than BB	1.8	0.0
Not Rated	0.0	0.0
Other	-2.0	0.0

## **Cumalative Performance Results Ending June 30, 2012**

	3 Mo (%)	Rank	YTD (%)	Rank	1 Yr (%)	Rank	2 Yrs (%)	Rank	3 Yrs (%)	Rank	4 Yrs (%)	Rank	5 Yrs (%)	Rank	7 Yrs (%)	Rank	10 Yrs (%)	Rank
Wellington Management	2.4	24	4.0	13	8.6	25	7.3	14	9.4	14	7.8	38	7.3	55	6.0	52	6.1	53
Barclays Aggregate	2.1	65	2.4	88	7.5	72	5.7	82	6.9	82	6.7	85	6.8	79	5.6	83	5.6	86

## Calendar Year Performance Results Ending June 30, 2012


	YTD (%)	Rank	2011 (%)	Rank	2010 (%)	Rank	2009 (%)	Rank	2008 (%)	Rank	2007 (%)	Rank	2006 (%)	Rank	2005 (%)	Rank	2004 (%)	Rank	2003 (%)	Rank
Wellington Management	4.0	13	7.9	38	8.9	11	14.5	12	-3.3	87	6.3	72	4.6	39	2.7	51	4.8	29	5.0	29
Barclays Aggregate	2.4	88	7.8	46	6.5	76	5.9	80	5.2	37	7.0	47	4.3	69	2.4	78	4.3	60	4.1	66

Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan

2nd Quarter, 2012

Page 202

#### Annualized Return vs. Annualized Standard Deviation 3 Years Ending June 30, 2012




- Rhumbline Aggregate
- Wellington Management
- Barclays Aggregate
- eA All US Fixed Inc Gross

## Risk vs. Return for 3 Years Ending June 30, 2012

Rank within eA All US Fixed Inc Gross (USD) (manager)	Annualized Return	Standard Deviation
Rhumbline Aggregate	7.1%	3.3%
Wellington Management	9.4%	3.1%
Barclays Aggregate	6.9%	3.2%
Median for this Universe	7.8%	2.9%

#### Annualized Return vs. Annualized Standard Deviation 5 Years Ending June 30, 2012



- Wellington Management
- Barclays Aggregate
- eA All US Fixed Inc Gross

## Risk vs. Return for 5 Years Ending June 30, 2012

Rank within eA All US Fixed Inc Gross (USD) (manager)	Annualized Return	Standard Deviation
Rhumbline Aggregate	6.8%	3.3%
Wellington Management	7.3%	4.2%
Barclays Aggregate	6.8%	3.4%
Median for this Universe	6.9%	3.8%

Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan 2nd Quarter, 2012 This page left intentionally blank.

Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan 2nd Quarter, 2012

## Rhumbline Advisors S&P ADR


#### \$20.3 Million and 5.0% of Fund

#### **Annualized Excess Performance**



Quarterly Out Performance
Quarterly Under Performance
Annualized Excess Performance

#### Return Summary Ending June 30, 2012




Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan

## Top Holdings

**HSBC HDG.ADR 1:5** 3.6% **VODAFONE GP.SPN.ADR 1:10** 3.5% 3.3% **NOVARTIS 'B' SPN.ADR 1:1 BP SPN.ADR 1:6** 3.0% **GLAXOSMITHKLINE SPN.ADR 1:2** 2.5% 2.4% **BRITISH AMER.TOBACCO ADR 1:2** 2.2% **TOYOTA MOTOR ADR 1:2** 2.1% **BHP BILLITON ADR 1:2** 1.9% **SANOFI ADR 2:1 SIEMENS SPN.ADR 1:1** 1.8%

#### Annualized Return vs. Annualized Standard Deviation 2 Years 3 Months Ending June 30, 2012



- Rhumbline ADR
- ◆ S&P ADR Index
- eA All ACWI ex-US Equity Gross

#### **Characteristics**

Onaracteristic	03	
	Portfolio	MSCI EAFE Gross
Number of Holdings	247	919
Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B)	68.58	47.32
Median Market Cap. (\$B)	15.27	6.43
Price To Earnings	13.59	13.84
Price To Book	2.60	2.39
Price To Sales	1.83	1.57
Return on Equity (%)	18.99	14.50
Yield (%)	3.83	3.70
Beta		1.00
R-Squared		1.00
INDUSTRY SECTOR DISTRIBUTION	(% Equity)	
Energy	15.04	8.36
Materials	11.85	9.60
Industrials	4.48	12.48
Consumer Discretionary	6.12	10.57
Consumer Staples	8.32	11.91
Health Care	11.00	10.12
Financials	23.87	22.60
Information Technology	5.77	4.50
Telecommunications	11.37	5.63
Utilities	2.15	4.24
COMPANY SIZE DISTRIBUTION		
Weighted Ave. Market Cap. (\$B)	68.58	47.32
Median Market Cap. (\$B)	15.27	6.43
Large Cap. (%)	52.86	0.00
Medium/Large Cap. (%)	33.92	0.00
Medium Cap. (%)	11.74	0.00
Medium/Small Cap. (%)	1.15	0.00
Small Cap. (%)	0.33	0.00
	Quarter, 2012	


2nd Quarter, 2012

Page 36

## **International Equity Performance Attribution** Versus MSCI EAFE Gross - Quarter Ending June 30, 2012

	Retui	rns and En	ding Weight	s	Attribution Effects							
	Manager Return	Index Return	Manager Weight	Index Weight	Selection Effect	Allocation Effect	Currency Effect	Total Effects				
Totals												
Americas	-8.4%		26.7%	0.0%	0.0%	-1.4%	-0.3%	-2.3%				
Europe	-5.9%	-7.1%	53.6%	63.9%	-1.5%	0.4%	2.2%	1.4%				
Asia/Pacific	-6.3%	-6.4%	19.7%	35.5%	0.5%	1.2%	-0.5%	1.0%				
Other	-11.3%	-13.6%	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%				
Total	-6.6%	-6.9%	100.0%	100.0%	-0.9%	0.3%	1.5%	0.2%				

#### Sector Returns (%) vs MSCI EAFE Gross



## **Cumalative Performance Results Ending June 30, 2012**

	3 Mo (%)	Rank	YTD (%)	Rank	1 Yr (%)	Rank	2 Yrs (%)	Rank
Rhumbline ADR	-6.5	40	2.3	85	-12.8	52	6.7	69
S&P ADR Index	-6.6	44	1.8	91	-12.9	52	6.8	67

#### Calendar Year Performance Results Ending June 30, 2012

	3 Mo (%)	Rank	YTD (%)	Rank	2011 (%)	Rank
Rhumbline ADR	-6.5	40	2.3	85	-11.6	45
S&P ADR Index	-6.6	44	1.8	91	-10.7	34

MSCI

FAFF

Gross

919

#### \$40.0 Million and 9.8% of Fund

Portfolio

32

Characteristics


Number of Holdings

Medium Cap. (%)

Small Cap. (%)

Medium/Small Cap. (%)


#### **Annualized Excess Performance**




#### **Top Holdings** CANADIAN NATIONAL RY. 8.7% 6.4% **BHP BILLITON ADR 1:2 NESTLE SPN.ADR.REGD.SHS. ADR 1:1** 6.4% 6.0% **BRITISH AMER.TOBACCO ADR 1:2** VALE ON ADR 1:1 5.0% CANADIAN PACIFIC RY. 4.9% **COOPER INDUSTRIES** 4.6% NOBLE 4.3% POTASH CORPORATION (NYS) OF 4.2% **SASKATCHEWAN** 3.8% **RIO TINTO SPN.ADR 1:1**


#### Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B) 54.17 47.32 Median Market Cap. (\$B) 25.04 6.43 Price To Earnings 15.24 13.84 Price To Book 2 78 2.39 Price To Sales 2.17 1.57 Return on Equity (%) 20.11 14.50 Yield (%) 2.72 3.70 Beta 1.14 1.00 R-Squared 0.90 1.00 INDUSTRY SECTOR DISTRIBUTION (% Equity) 23.26 8.36 Energy Materials 24.76 9.60 Industrials 23.06 12.48 Consumer Discretionary 0.00 10.57 **Consumer Staples** 19.29 11.91 Health Care 1.92 10.12 Financials 7.71 22.60 0.00 4.50 Information Technology Telecommunications 0.00 5.63 Utilities 0.00 4.24 COMPANY SIZE DISTRIBUTION Weighted Ave. Market Cap. (\$B) 54.17 47.32 Median Market Cap. (\$B) 25.04 6.43 Large Cap. (%) 39.86 0.00 Medium/Large Cap. (%) 29.12 0.00

### Return Summary Ending June 30, 2012





#### Annualized Return vs. Annualized Standard Deviation 8 Years 9 Months Ending June 30, 2012



- WHV
- ◆ MSCI EAFE Gross
- eA All EAFE Equity Gross

24.36

6.66

0.00

0.00

0.00


0.00

## **International Equity Performance Attribution**

#### Versus MSCI EAFE Gross - Quarter Ending June 30, 2012

	Retu	rns and En	ding Weight	s	Attribution Effects							
	Manager Return	Index Return	Manager Weight	Index Weight	Selection Effect	Allocation Effect	Currency Effect	Total Effects				
Totals												
Americas	-5.5%		59.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-0.4%	-0.2%				
Europe	-4.8%	-7.1%	33.7%	63.9%	-0.8%	-0.5%	2.2%	1.4%				
Asia/Pacific	-9.8%	-6.4%	6.4%	35.5%	-0.7%	0.8%	-0.5%	0.1%				
Other		-13.6%	0.0%	0.6%		0.0%	0.0%	0.1%				
Total	-5.5%	-6.9%	100.0%	100.0%	-1.5%	0.3%	1.3%	1.3%				

#### Sector Returns (%) vs MSCI EAFE Gross



#### **Cumalative Performance Results Ending June 30, 2012**


	3 Mo (%)	Rank	YTD (%)	Rank	1 Yr (%)	Rank	2 Yrs (%)	Rank	3 Yrs (%)	Rank	4 Yrs (%)	Rank	5 Yrs (%)	Rank	7 Yrs (%)	Rank	10 Yrs (%)	Rank
WHV	-5.2	21	3.8	66	-13.0	57	10.4	24	12.1	15	-5.0	84	-0.6	7	9.5	2		
MSCI EAFE Gross	-6.9	55	3.4	72	-13.4	64	6.5	72	6.5	81	-4.5	75	-5.6	73	2.8	77	5.6	80

### Calendar Year Performance Results Ending June 30, 2012

	YTD (%)	Rank	2011 (%)	Rank	2010 (%)	Rank	2009 (%)	Rank	2008 (%)	Rank	2007 (%)	Rank	2006 (%)	Rank	2005 (%)	Rank	2004 (%)	Rank
WHV	3.8	66	-13.2	62	18.8	17	55.2	7	-47.5	76	42.0	1	22.7	86	36.3	3	27.5	17
MSCI EAFE Gross	3.4	72	-11.7	47	8.2	78	32.5	67	-43.1	41	11.6	59	26.9	55	14.0	79	20.7	52

Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan 2nd Quarter, 2012


## Annualized Return vs. Annualized Standard Deviation 3 Years Ending June 30, 2012



## Risk vs. Return for 3 Years Ending June 30, 2012

Rank within Global Developed xUS Equity (USD) (manager)	Annualized Return	Standard Deviation
WHV	12.1%	27.0%
MSCI EAFE Gross	6.5%	22.5%
Median for this Universe		

# Annualized Return vs. Annualized Standard Deviation 5 Years Ending June 30, 2012



## Risk vs. Return for 5 Years Ending June 30, 2012

Rank within eA All EAFE Equity Gross (USD) (manager)	Annualized Star Return Devi			
WHV	-0.6%	32.6%		
MSCI EAFE Gross	-5.6%	26.0%		
Median for this Universe	-4.5%	23.7%		

## V - Appendix

## Description of Managers' Investment Philosophy, Process & Style

#### **ICC Capital Management**

Core Value Equity portfolios are constructed utilizing a quantitative, top-down analysis of the economy and capital markets in order to identify attractive market sectors within which to invest. Their investment process is driven by an internal multi-factor model, which compiles fundamental and technical data on a universe of stocks comprised of the S&P 500 Index. This data is gathered and analyzed on a weekly basis and is utilized to rank broad market sectors and individual securities according to relative attractiveness. This quantitative ranking process screens broad market sectors and individual securities according to key factors which measure relative valuation, earnings momentum, and technical strength.

The first step in their portfolio construction process is to determine appropriate sector allocations relative to a benchmark index. This is accomplished through the analysis of general market and macroeconomic conditions in order to identify key turning points in economic and market trends. Once inflection points have been identified, their primary strategy is to over/under weight specific market sectors ahead of momentum investors. The internal quantitative model signals these turning points by compiling a relative ranking of each economic sector according to its fundamental and technical strength. When relative strength within a particular sector is identified by the model, they will overweight that sector relative to the benchmark index. Conversely, when relative weakness is identified, the strategy is to underweight that sector relative to the benchmark index. These active sector bets are the key driver of performance in the Core Value Equity product.

Once sector allocations have been determined, the analysis turns to the individual security level where they rely on their multi-factor model to objectively compile and sort data on a universe of stocks comprised of the S&P 500 Index. Each individual company in the universe is ranked according to valuation, earnings momentum and technical factors and the factor scores are aggregated into an overall relative security rating. Buy candidates are identified as those securities which have strong overall rankings in their model and whose relative rankings exhibit upward movement in several of the specific factors analyzed. Securities are considered sell candidates when their overall ranking or relative ranking in specific factors consistently falls in our weekly analysis, such a drop typically identifies deteriorating fundamentals or overvaluation in specific securities. Of the 500 securities analyzed, they select approximately 45-55 names for inclusion into their Core Value Equity portfolios.

#### RhumbLine Advisers S&P 500 Index

RhumbLine initially managed the portfolio construction process by screening the stocks and eliminating those which do not have an acceptable quality rating by Value Line or Standard & Poor's, as well as companies not domestically domiciled. The screening excludes approximately 70 securities from the High Grade Index. This version of the Index was converted to a fully-replicating Index Fund in the 1st quarter of 2000.

To minimize tracking error, RhumbLine frequently rebalances the portfolio. There are three events which will trigger rebalancing. These events are:

- Cash accumulates to 1% of the assets
- Changes in the benchmark securities or restricted list
- Major market changes

This policy results in portfolio rebalancing as often as each week, and no less often than each quarter. Each time this "micro-rebalancing" occurs, a list of required buys and sells, with acceptable alternative trades is

produced which will bring the portfolio back into compliance

with the S&P 500 Index. Typically, these trades represent less than 10% of the individual names in the portfolio, with less than 5% of the available assets. Historically, turnover has averaged less than 6%. In addition to frequent portfolio rebalancing, daily industry-sector weighting reports are reviewed to assure the portfolio is replicating risk and return characteristics of the S&P 500 Index.

#### RhumbLine Advisers S&P 400 Mid Cap Index

The portfolio for the Rhumbline S&P 400 Mid-Cap Index Fund has a construction and management process similar to that of the S&P 500 Index Fund. The methodology is a combination of a replication and stratification sampling process. The portfolio will hold approximately 375 issues. About 95% of the index, or 320 of the largest stocks in the index, are held in replicating weights. The remaining 5% are sampled; approximately 54 out of 80 stocks are held at weights of 0.10%. The sampled stocks are chosen so that industry weights of the index match industry weights of the portfolio.

#### RhumbLine Advisers S&P 600 Small Cap Index

The portfolio for the Rhumbline S&P 600 Small-Cap Index Fund has a construction and management process similar to that of the S&P 500 Index Fund. The fund consists of 600 small-cap stocks trading in the U.S with a market capitalization range between \$250 million to \$900 million. This represents approximately 3% of the domestic equity universe. The Fund has an annual turnover rate of 8 – 10%.

#### RhumbLine Advisers Barclays U.S. Aggregate Index

The RhumbLine investment team utilizes fixed income investment modeling technology to build and maintain the Core Bond Pooled Index Fund. As the objective of the Fund is to track the risk and return characteristics of the Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond Index, all investment decisions are made with that as the primary goal. The benchmark has more than 6,000 fixed income instruments to select from so their process incorporates both optimization and stratified sampling in managing the Fund. Other analytical tools are utilized to evaluate fundamental credit research, market analysis of supply and demand and security structure analysis.

#### **Wellington Management Company**

Wellington Management Company manages a Barclays U.S. Aggregate-based fixed income portfolio for the Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan. The objective of the portfolio strategy is to exceed the return of the Barclays U.S. Aggregate Total Bond Index through investments in intermediate and longer maturity, investment grade fixed income securities. Average maturity of the bond portfolios is typically between 8 and 12 years. Average duration ranges from 4 to 6 years, depending on the firm's interest rate outlook.

Aggregate Bond portfolios typically emphasize corporate and mortgage securities over government and agency securities. The actual weighting of corporate and mortgage securities is a function of yield spreads and the firm's market outlook. Portfolio yield tends to be slightly higher than the Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond Index, while quality is typically slightly lower due to the emphasis on corporate issues.

The maturity/duration strategy decision is based on both macroeconomic data and bond market indicators. Changes in portfolio strategy normally occur slowly, rather than in sudden, large shifts. Wellington's strategic approach is somewhat contrarian; they tend to gradually increase portfolio duration as rates rise and vice versa.

Bond sector strategies focus on relative value and yield spreads across security types and among quality, issuer and industry sectors. Analysis of historical yield spreads is also used in establishing the sector strategy. Additionally, quality yield spreads are monitored for swap opportunities.

The Bond strategy is supported by two groups within the company which supply fundamental and valuation information on two of the major domestic market sectors. These groups are the Mortgage Backed Strategy Group, and the Credit Screening Group.

Wellington's corporate bond research effort is distinctive in that it focuses on avoiding down-gradings as well as on evaluating default risk. The fixed income research effort results in a Bond Universe of issuers pre-approved for purchase. Only securities issued by companies on the Bond Universe list may be included in the portfolio. The Bond Universe includes approximately 350 corporate debt issuers selected out of 700 investment grade bond issuers who have at least \$50 million of public debt outstanding.

#### WHV Investment Management


The firm provides an ADR-based product with a growth equity bias. Portfolios are managed by a three person team located in San Francisco. The portfolio manager spends approximately 25% of his time also managing domestic small cap portfolios. Portfolios are comprised of ADR securities and stocks of firms domiciled outside the United States, but have registered their securities to trade on the domestic exchanges.

The investment process is top-down oriented. Emphasis is placed on investing in the proper industry and sectors that are expected to outperform. Aggressive sector allocation changes may occur based on research conclusions. Physical location of countries represented in the portfolio varies significantly from that of the index. Industry and sector weights also vary significantly. Portfolios currently hold approximately 33 securities. Annual turnover is approximately 30-35%.


#### RhumbLine Advisers ADR Index

Rhumbline manages a portfolio to track the performance of the Standard & Poor's ADR Index. The investment objective is to generate a total return that falls within a range of +/- 15 basis points (0.15%) of the return of the index over both a short (1 to 3 years) and long (3 to 5 years) term investment horizons. The portfolio utilizes a full replication methodology which effectively holds all the securities in the benchmark. The portfolio holdings are monitored to keep sector allocations, market capitalizations and country weights in line with the index.


U.S. Effective Style Map Ending June 30, 2012




U.S. Effective Style Map Ending June 30, 2012



U.S. Effective Style Map Ending June 30, 2012



U.S. Effective Style Map Ending June 30, 2012



2nd Quarter, 2012

	ICC Capital	Rhumbline 400	Rhumbline 500	Rhumbline 600	Domestic Equity	Russell 1000 Value	Russell 1000	Russell 3000
Characteristics								
Wtg. Avg. Market Cap. (Bil)	54.09	3.82	108.09	1.29	69.68	85.13	95.66	88.43
Median Market Cap (Bil)	19.08	2.58	11.84	0.71	2.40	4.64	5.13	0.93
P/E Ratio	16.05	20.68	16.91	20.64	17.65	14.59	17.13	16.92
Yield	2.58	1.50	2.21	1.26	2.09	2.54	2.08	2.03
EPS Growth - 5 Yrs.	-1.46	6.90	8.97	6.47	6.13	2.93	7.28	7.09
Price to Book	1.97	3.16	3.65	2.61	3.10	1.85	3.61	3.48
Beta (holdings; domestic)	1.16	1.23	1.02	1.24	1.10	1.12	1.05	1.08
Sector Distribution								
Energy	10.64	5.31	10.79	3.98	9.25	16.46	10.15	9.84
Materials	4.43	6.84	3.40	5.57	4.40	3.88	3.87	3.93
Industrials	10.18	15.91	10.46	15.31	11.74	9.16	10.76	11.09
Consumer Discretionary	12.78	13.33	10.94	15.33	12.11	7.66	11.88	12.02
Consumer Staples	5.75	3.53	11.22	4.65	8.17	7.18	10.18	9.68
Health Care	13.37	10.97	11.98	11.46	12.06	11.78	11.84	11.97
Financials	17.99	22.94	14.39	20.08	17.11	26.21	15.44	15.89
Information Technology	9.24	15.51	19.72	18.43	16.62	6.73	19.11	18.99
Telecommunications Services	7.98	0.53	3.22	0.54	3.56	3.68	3.00	2.84
Utilities	7.66	5.13	3.73	4.21	4.86	7.26	3.76	3.75

Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan Page 45

Annualized Returns	Jun-12	YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	Annualized Returns	Jun-12	YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs
Total Fund	-2.7%	5.9%	0.2%	12.5%	2.5%	6.2%	Fixed Income	2.3%	3.4%	8.1%			
Fund Benchmark	<u>-2.1%</u>	<u>6.2%</u>	<u>2.4%</u>	<u>12.6%</u>	<u>2.4%</u>	<u>5.8%</u>	Barclays Aggregate	<u>2.1%</u>	2.4%	<u>7.5%</u>	6.9%	6.8%	<u>5.6%</u>
Over/Under	-0.6%	-0.3%	-2.2%	-0.1%	0.1%	0.4%	Over/Under	0.2%	1.0%	0.6%			
Universe Median	-1.6%	5.5%	1.9%	11.3%	2.2%	6.1%	Universe Median	1.7%	3.2%	6.9%	7.8%	6.9%	5.9%
Points in Universe	396	396	388	361	340	257	Points in Universe	1192	1192	1192	1148	1066	840
Universe Quartile Ranking							Universe Quartile Ranking						
Annualized Returns  Domestic Equity  S&P 500  Over/Under	Jun-12 -4.1% -2.8% -1.3%	YTD 8.3% 9.5% -1.2%	1 Yr 1.3% 5.4% -4.1%	3 Yrs 16.4%	<b>5 Yrs</b> <u>0.2%</u>	10 Yrs	Annualized Returns International Equity MSCI EAFE Gross Over/Under	<b>Jun-12</b> -6.3% <u>-6.9%</u> 0.6%	YTD 2.7% <u>3.4%</u> -0.6%	<b>1 Yr</b> -13.3% - <u>13.4%</u> 0.1%	<u>6.5%</u>		/rs 10 Yrs 5% 5.6%
Universe Median	-4.3%	8.3%	0.4%	17.0%	1.2%	7.4%	Universe Median	-6.6%	4.9%	-12.3%	8.7%	6 -4.5	5% 6.9%
Points in Universe	2400	2399	2398	2327	2147	1497	Points in Universe	280	280	280	27	3 2	45 167
Universe Quartile Ranking							Universe Quartile Ranking						

Miami Beach Employees' Retirement Plan

2nd Quarter, 2012

Page 46

## Calculated vs. Manager Produced Performance June 30, 2012

	As Calculated By Milliman	As Calculated By Manager	Index <u>Returns</u>	Differences
<b>Equity Portfolios</b>				
ICC Capital	-6.4 %	-6.4 %	-2.2	0.0 %
Rhumbline Advisors - S&P 500	-2.7	-2.7	-2.8	0.0 %
Rhumbline Advisors - S&P 400	-5.1	-4.9	-4.9	-0.2 %
Rhumbline Advisors - S&P 600	-3.6	-3.6	-3.6	0.0 %
Fixed Income Portfolios				
Rhumbline Advisors - Barclays U.S. Aggregate	2.1	2.1	2.1	0.0 %
Wellington Management*	2.4	2.3	2.1	0.1 %
International Equity Portfolios				
Rhumbline Advisors - ADR	-6.5	-6.1	-6.6	-0.4 %
Wentworth Hauser	-5.2	-5.5	-6.9	0.3 %

<sup>\*</sup>Difference in return is due to trade date/settlement date differences and intra-quarter cash flows.

#### **Definitions**

Coupon - Bond instruments typically pay interest in the form of semi-annual coupon payments. If the annual coupon payment value is divided by the par value of the bond, the coupon rate is derived.

Duration - The bond portfolio duration most commonly referred to is the Macaulay duration. This is a weighted average maturity, expressed in years. All coupon and principal payments are weighted by the present value term for the expected time of payment.

Investment Gain/Loss - The difference in the total dollar value of the portfolio over the past quarter. Changes impacting the dollar value of the portfolio include realized and unrealized capital gains and all cash flows. Cash flows, either contributions or withdrawals, which are made by the plan sponsor are exclude from this calculation.

Income Yield - As referred to in this report, the income yield is calculated on common stock holdings, and is the ratio of the last twelve months dividend payments as a percentage of the most recent quarter-ending stock market value.

Market Capitalization - A security's quarter-ending market value, or closing price times the number of common stock shares outstanding.

Maturity - The maturity for an individual bond is calculated as the number of years till principal payment. For a portfolio of bonds, the maturity is a weighted average maturity, where the weighting factors are the individual security's percentage of total portfolio market capitalization.

Percentile - For a range of investment manager performance results, from highest to lowest, a percentile is the performance range spanning one percent of the total range.

Price/Book Value - For an individual common stock, this is the stock's price divided by book value per share. Book value per share is the company's common stockholders equity divided by the number of common shares outstanding.

Price/Earnings Ratio - The ratio of a common stock's price divided by earnings per share. The ratio is used as a valuation technique employed by investment managers.

Net Contributions - The sum of contributions to and withdrawals from a portfolio, exclusive of regular interest and dividend payments, and miscellaneous expenses.

Portfolio Beta - A common stock's beta, market risk, is the sensitivity of the stock's price in relation to a 1% change in the price of the market benchmark, the S&P 500. A portfolio beta simply weights the individual issues by their percentage of total portfolio market capitalization.

Return On Equity - For a common stock, this is the annual net, after-tax earnings divided by total common stockholders equity.

Risk/Reward (Sharpe) Ratio - This is the difference in a portfolio's annualized return, for the past five years, and the annualized return for 90-day Treasury Bills, divided by the annualized standard deviation for the same time period. The statistic is a risk-adjusted return. The higher the value, the better.

Standard Deviation - The degree of variability of a time series, such as quarterly returns, relative to the average. Standard deviation measures the volatility of the time series.	